© Warren Thompson

How to Determine Whether M-Dwarf Terrestrial Planets Possess Atmospheres

Eliza Kempton (UMD)

Daniel Koll (MIT) Matej Malik (UMD) Megan Mansfield (U. Chicago) Jacob Bean (U. Chicago) Edwin Kite (U. Chicago) Dorian Abbot (U. Chicago) Renyu Hu (JPL)

Based on:

Koll et al. (ArXiv: 1907.13138) Malik et al. (ArXiv: 1907.13135) Mansfield et al. (ArXiv: 1907.13150) Koll (ArXiv: 1907.13145)



A major open question in characterizing the atmospheres of the rocky M-dwarf planets that TESS will find in large numbers, is whether such planets possess atmospheres at all



Zahnle and Catling., ApJ, 2017

The null hypothesis:

"Bare rock" temperate

(Equilibrium temperatu

 T_{\bigstar} = stellar temperature, R_{\bigstar} = stellar radius, d = orbita

An *atmosphere* will serve to lower the dayside temperature by (i) increasing the planet's albedo, and (ii) transporting heat to the planet's night side

There is a maximum possible value for a rocky planet's dayside temperature that will be observed only if the planet lacks an atmosphere

ure:
$$T_{max} = T_{\star} \sqrt{\frac{R_{\star}}{d}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/4}$$

re: $T_{eq} = T_{\star} \sqrt{\frac{R_{\star}}{d}} (1 - \alpha_B)^{1/4} f^{1/4}$
al distance, α_B = Bond albedo, f = heat redistribution parameter





Mansfield et al. (submitted)

Mansfield et al. (submitted), albedo spectra from Hu, Ehlmann, & Seager, ApJ (2012)

Testing the null hypothesis: The albedo effect



Testing the null hypothesis: I-D atmosphere modeling

Radiativeconvective equilibrium models for planets with solid surfaces from HELIOS (https:// github.com/ exoclime/HELIOS)



Koll et al. (submitted)

Testing the null hypothesis: Emission spectroscopy vs. photometry



Koll et al. (submitted)

Bare rock
0.01 bar
0.1 bar
1 bar
10 bar



Testing the null hypothesis: Transmission spectroscopy



Koll et al. (submitted)

Bare rock
0.01 bar
0.1 bar
1 bar
10 bar

Cloud-free models generated with Exo-Transmit (https:// github.com/elizakempton/ Exo_Transmit)



Results: (I) Eclipse photometry is typically the most economical technique to reject the null hypothesis and confirm a "candidate" atmosphere



Koll et al. (submitted)



Mansfield et al. (submitted)

Results: (II) Inferred Bond albedos greater than ~0.2 are indicative of atmospheres on warm rocky M-dwarf planets (III) Moderate cloud columns can raise the albedo well above this threshold, even for very thin atmospheres



Mansfield et al. (submitted)

The secondary eclipse photometry test could be performed on ~100 rocky M-dwarf planets with JWST to determine the occurrence rate of atmospheres on such objects



Koll et al. (submitted)



Final thoughts: The exoplanet community requires a large statistical survey of exoplanet atmospheres to make good on the promise of the TESS mission



Kempton et al., PASP, 2018



"Recommendation: NASA should create a mechanism for community-driven legacy surveys of exoplanet atmospheres early in the JWST mission" - National Academy of Sciences Exoplanet Science Strategy Consensus Study Report (2018)





- redistribution), which is representative of a bare rock planet.
- night side.
- atmosphere.
- rocky M-dwarf planets.
- thin atmospheres.



For rocky M-dwarf planets interior to the habitable zone, there is a maximum possible secondary eclipse depth (corresponding to low albedo and no day/night heat

A smaller secondary eclipse depth is indicative of a candidate atmosphere because atmospheres scatter away incoming stellar light and transport heat to the planet's

Eclipse photometry is typically the most economical technique to identify a candidate

Inferred Bond albedos greater than ~ 0.2 are indicative of atmospheres on warm

Moderate cloud columns can raise the albedo well above this threshold, even for very





Number of Transits or Eclipses Required to Detect a Venus-like Atmosphere

Planet	Emission P = 0.1 bar	Emission P = 1.0 bar	Emission P = 10.0 bar	Transmission $P = 0.01$ bar	Transmission $P = 0.1$ bar	Transmission $P = 1.0$ bar
TRAPPIST-1b	6 (11)	9 (18)	17 (30)	23 (89)	11 (40)	6 (21)
TRAPPIST-1c	19 (37)	29 (58)	48 (92)	_	73 (50)	36 (25)
TRAPPIST-1d	_	_	_	59 (-)	25 (46)	13 (24)
TRAPPIST-1e	_	_	_	15 (-)	6 (66)	4 (71)
TRAPPIST-1f	_	_	_	73 (-)	27 (92)	17 (54)
TRAPPIST-1g	_	_	_	36 (-)	15 (-)	10 (76)
TRAPPIST-1h	_	_	_	16 (-)	6 (90)	4 (56)
GJ 1132b	2 (2)	2 (3)	3 (6)	27 (38)	13 (20)	11 (13)
LHS 1140b	_	_	_	_	-(96)	-(64)

Morley et al., ApJ, 2017



Morley et al., ApJ, 2017

	$\rm R_{*}~(\rm R_{\odot})$	$T_{\ast} \ (K)$	$\mathrm{R}_p~(\mathrm{R}_\oplus)$	$g~(m/s^2)$	$T_{eq} (K)^a$	${\rm f_{CO_2}}^{\rm b}$	\mathbf{f}_{H}
TRAPPIST-1b	0.121	2511	1.12	7.95	391	0.26	0
GJ1132b	0.207	3270	1.16	11.8	578	0.27	0
LHS3844b	0.189	3036	1.32	12.9°	805	0.27	0



$_{2}O^{\mathrm{b}}$
25
25
26

 $^a {\rm Equilibrium}$ temperature, which assumes full heat redistribution and zero albedo. $^b {\rm Heat}$ redistribution factor, for 1 bar surface pressure.

^cAssuming 2.3 M_{\oplus} , based on Chen & Kipping (2017).



